STATISTICS FOR THE SUPREME COURT'S OCTOBER TERM 1999

Prepared by Thomas C. Goldstein Attorney - Washington, D.C.

INTRODUCTION

This compilation of Supreme Court statistics provides a summary view of the recently completed Supreme Court term by reporting how the Court disposed of cases, as well as the degree to which the Justices agreed with each other. Readers are cautioned, however, that these statistics can give only the broadest overview of the term and cannot in any sense replace serious study of the Court's individual decisions.

STATISTICAL BREAKDOWN OF PUBLISHED OPINIONS

Decided Cases: M	ethod of Dis	sposition						
After argument and by signed opinion			After argument and without signed opinion	1	On the briefs		3	
Splits in Decided C	ases						7	
Unanimous	25	9-0	7	8-1 or 7-1	3	7-2 or 6-2	12	
6-3 or 5-3	11	5-4	19	4-4	0	Other	0	
Treatment of the L Lower court reversed or	ower Court 45	Lower court	30	Lower court reversed or	1	Other	1	
vacated				vacated in part and affirmed in part				

OPINION AUTHORSHIP

Opinion Author	ship: Tota	l Number of Opini	ons					**************************************	
Rehnquist	16	Stevens	33	O'Connor	15	Scalia	27	Kennedy	19
Souter	23	Thomas	21	Ginsburg	18	Breyer	23		
Opinion Author	ship: Maje	ority or Plurality O	pinions				······································		
Per Curlam	4	Rehnquist	9	Stevens	7	O'Connor	8	Scalia	8
Kennedy	10	Souter	8	Thomas	8	Ginsburg	8	Breyer	7
Opinion Author	ship: Cone	curring Opinions			***************************************			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Rehnquist	0	Stevens	7	O'Connor	6	Scalia	8	Kennedy	5
Souter	5	Thomas	8	Ginsburg	4	Breyer	7		
Opinion Author	ship: Diss	enting Opinions					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Rehnquist	5	Stevens	18	O'Connor	1	Scalia	9	Kennedy	4
Souter	10	Thomas	5	Ginsburg	5	Breyer	6		
Opinion Author	ship: Unar	nimous Majority C	pinions						
Per Curiam	4	Rehnquist	1	Stevens	0	O'Connor	1	Scalia	3
Kennedy	3	Souter	5	Thomas	2	Ginsburg	4	Breyer	2

DISSENTING YOTES

Dissenting Vo	tes: Total N	lumber					······································		
Rehnquist	9	Stevens	27	O'Connor	4	Scalia	15	Kennedy	10
Souter	20	Thomas	12	Ginsburg	23	Breyer	18		
Dissenting Vo	tes: Numbe	or of Times the o	nly Dissente	er in a Case		— <u> </u>	<u> </u>	·	
Rehnquist	1	Stevens	1	O'Connor	0	Scalia	1	Kennedy	0
Souter	0	Thomas	0	Ginsburg	0	Breyer	0		

FIVE-TO-FOUR CASES

Number of cases (either entirely 5-4 or 5-4 on a major issue)						21						
Five-to-Four C	ases: Alig	nments										
WHR, SOC, AS, AMK, CT						14						
WHR, SOC, AS, AMK, SGB						1						
WHR, SOC, DS, RBG, SGB												
JPS, SOC, DS, CT, RBG												
JPS, SOC, DS	, RBG, SGE	3			1							
JPS, AS, DS,	CT, SGB				1							
JPS, AS, DS, O	CT, RBG				1							
JPS, AMK, DS, CT, RBG					1							
					ļ							
												
Five-to-Four C	ases: Auth	orship of the Opi	nion									
Five-to-Four C	ases: Auth	orship of the Opi	nion 2	O'Connor	4	Scalia	1	Kennedy	3			
				O'Connor Ginsburg	4 0	Scalia Breyer	1 3	Kennedy	3			
Rehnquist Souter	5 0	Stevens	2 3					Kennedy	3			
Rehnquist Souter	5 0	Stevens Thomas	2 3					Kennedy	3			

O.T. 1999 - FULL VOTING RELATIONSHIPS BY SENIORITY

	Stevens	O'Connor	Scalia	Kennedy	Souter	Thomas	Ginsburg	Breyer
	77	77	77	77	77	77	77	77
Rehnquist	36 - 47%	67 - 87%	54 - 70%	61 - 79%	43 - 56%	57 - 74%	44 - 57%	46 - 60%
Kenndaist	6 - 55%	5 - 94%	8 - 81%	7 - 88%	9 - 68%	5 - 81%	9 - 69%	9 - 71%
	3 - 58%	1 - 95%	4 - 86%	1 - 90%	3 - 71%	2 - 83%	0 - 69%	4 - 77%
	32 - 42%	4 - 5%	11 - 14%	8 - 10%	22 - 29%	13 - 17%	24 - 31%	18 - 23%
		77	77	77	77	77	77	77
	Stanona	37 - 48%	28 - 36%	40 - 52%	59 - 77%	35 - 45%	58 - 75%	54 - 70%
	Stevens	10 - 61%	8 - 47%	5 - 58%	8 - 87%	6 - 53%	7 - 84%	8 - 81%
		3 - 65%	7 - 56%	5 - 65%	2 - 90%	4 - 58%	2 - 87%	0 - 81%
		27 - 35%	34 - 44%	27 - 35%	8 - 10%	32 - 42%	10 - 13%	15 - 19%
			77	77	77	77	77	77
			49 - 64%	63 - 82%	46 - 60%	53 - 69%	48 - 62%	49 - 64%
		O'Connor	10 - 77%	5 - 88%	10 - 73%	8 - 79%	10 - 75%	9 - 75%
			5 - 83%	2 - 91%	3 - 77%	4 - 84%	0 - 75%	4 - 81%
			13 - 17%	7 - 9%	18 - 23%	12 - 16%	19 - 25%	15 - 19%
				77	77	77	77	77
				52 - 68%	32 - 42%	62 - 81%	32 - 42%	36 - 47%
			Scalia	12 - 83%	10 - 55%	8 - 91%	11 - 56%	9 - 58%
				4 - 88%	5 - 61%	2 - 94%	3 - 60%	8 - 69%
				9 - 12%	30 - 39%	5 - 6%	31 - 40%	24 - 31%
				7 - 1270	77	77	77	77
					41 - 53%	55 - 71%	44 - 57%	42 - 55%
				Kennedy	8 - 64%	9 - 83%	6 - 65%	8 - 65%
					3 - 68%	3 - 87%	1 - 66%	5 - 71%
					25 - 32%	10 - 13%	26 - 34%	22 - 29%
					23 - 3276	77	77	77
						39 - 51%	62 - 81%	58 - 75%
		KEY			Souter			6 - 83%
Total No. of	Cases Together			 	1	8 - 61% 4 - 66%	6 - 88% 2 - 91%	3 - 87%
TOTAL NO. OF	Cases Together						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10 - 13%
No. and 9/ av	f Times A seed	i= F0			İ	26 - 34%	-	77
140. all Q 70 01	f Times A greed	in Full					77 38 - 49%	36 - 47%
No. of Time	A Amand in Daw	. 9.			-	Thomas		
	s Agreed in Par Agreed in Full o						10 - 62%	7 - 56%
	-						2 - 65%	7 - 65%
No of Time	A need in the	Indom 0	··		1		27 - 35%	27 - 35%
	Agreed in the Agreed in Full,	in Part, or in the	Judgment					77
1	•	•	-				Ginsburg	56 - 73%
No. 25 10/	CTimes Dis	-4 0 1-4-2			1			6 - 81%
No. and % of	i ilmes Disagre	eed Completely						3 - 84%
					1			12 - 16%